## **Triethyltin Haloacetates, Halopropionates, and Propenoates**

### HERBERT H. ANDERSON

Received July 23, 1956

Table I lists the properties of fourteen new triethyltin haloacetates, halopropionates, and propenoates. All except two of the esters result from the usual preparative method  $[(C_2H_5)_3Sn]_2O + 2HOCOCH_2X \rightarrow 2(C_2H_5)_3SnOCOCH_2X + H_2O.$ Two esters involve altered preparative methods  $[(C_2H_5)_3Sn]_2O + 2CH_3OCOC(CH_3)=CH_2 \rightarrow 2(C_2H_5)_3SnOCOC(CH_3)=CH_2 + CH_3OCH_3 and also <math>(C_2H_5)_3SnI + AgOCOCH_2OH \rightarrow (C_2H_5)_3SnOCOCH_2OH + AgI.$ 

Previous investigations on triethyltin esters at this Institute include the preparation of triethyltin esters by two methods: the typical<sup>1,2</sup>  $[(C_2H_5)_3 Sn_{2O} + 2CF_{3}COOH \rightarrow 2(C_{2}H_{5})_{3}SnOCOCF_{3} +$  $H_2O$  and a recent modification<sup>3</sup> [( $C_2H_5$ )<sub>3</sub>Sn]<sub>2</sub>O +  $2C_2H_5OCOCH_3 \rightarrow 2(C_2H_5)_3SnOCOCH_3 + C_2H_5$  $OC_2H_5$ . The present paper employs acids in twelve preparations and an organic ester in one preparation. Neither of these methods appears suitable for the synthesis of the hydroxyacetate, but the use of a silver salt proves adequate—as in previous<sup>4</sup> organogermanium preparations--( $C_2H_5$ )<sub>3</sub>SnI + AgO- $COCH_2OH \rightarrow (C_2H_5)_3SnOCOCH_2OH + AgI.$ Transesterifications or ester exchanges often have great usefulness in organosilicon<sup>5</sup> or organogermanium<sup>6</sup> preparations, but appear to have definite

An earlier paper shows the position of triethyltin esters in a "conversion series" for the use of silver salts.<sup>8</sup>

This present publication contains, it seems, the first trialkyltin halopropionates and the first trialkyltin propenoates. Earlier papers report the compounds  $(C_2H_5)_3$ SnOCOCF<sub>3</sub>,<sup>1</sup> $(C_2H_5)_3$ SnOCOCH<sub>2</sub>-Cl, and  $(C_2H_5)_3$ SnOCOCHCl<sub>2</sub><sup>7</sup> as haloacetates; the present paper adds  $(C_2H_5)_3$ SnOCOCH<sub>2</sub>F,  $(C_2H_5)_3$ -SnOCOCH<sub>2</sub>F,  $(C_2H_5)_3$ -SnOCOCH<sub>2</sub>F, and  $(C_2H_5)_3$ SnOCOCH<sub>2</sub>I.

Nearly all the compounds in Table I have limited thermal stabilities, but  $(C_2H_5)_3SnOCOC_2F_5$  and  $(C_2H_5)_3SnOCO-n-C_3F_7$  appear rather stable at their respective boiling points of 216.5° and 224° under 760 mm. pressure. In fact, the combination of limited thermal stability and of fairly high solubility

	M.P.,	OCOR		$\operatorname{Tin}$		Mol. Wt.		Yield.
Compound	°C. ′	Calcd.	Found	Caled.	Found	Calcd.	Found	% <sup>a</sup>
$(C_2H_5)_3SnOCOCH_2F$	155 - 156	27.2	27.3	42.0	41.8	282.9		30
$(C_2H_5)_3SnOCOCH_2Br$	99.5	40.2	40.5	34.6	34.8	343.8	361	50
$(C_2H_5)_3SnOCOCH_2I$	94.5	47.4	47.9	30.4	30.6	390.8	384	60
$(C_2H_5)_3SnOCOCH_2CH_2Cl$	87.5 - 88	34.3	33.9	37.9	38.3	313.4	310	70
$(C_2H_5)_3$ SnOCOCHClCH <sub>3</sub>	90.5 - 91	34.3	34.2	37.9	38.1	313.4	303	70
$(C_2H_b)_3SnOCOCH_2CH_2Br$	84.5	42.5	42.7	33.2	33.4	357.9		60
$(C_2H_5)_3SnOCOCHBrCH_3$	88-89	42.5	42.8	33.2	32.9	357.9	345	40
$(C_2H_5)_3SnOCOCHBrCH_2Br$	99.5 - 100	<b>52.9</b>	53.1	27.2	27.0	436.8		70
$(C_2H_5)_3SnOCOCF_2CF_3{}^b$	94.5	44.2	44.5	32.2	32.2	368.9	384	40
$(C_2H_5)_3SnOCO$ - $n$ - $C_3F_7^{\circ}$	75.5	50.8	51.1	28.3	28.8	418.9	420	40
$(C_2H_5)_3SnOCOCH=CH_2$	116 - 117	<b>25</b> .6	25.6	42.9	43.5	276.9		70
$(C_2H_5)_3SnOCOC(CH_3)=CH_2$	75.5	<b>29.2</b>	<b>29.1</b>	40.8	40.9	291.0		30
$(C_2H_5)_3SnOCOCH=CHC_6H_5$	107 - 108	41.7	41.8	33.6	33.5	353.0	h	55
$(C_2H_5)_3SnOCOCH_2OH$	106.5 - 107	<b>26</b> . 7	<b>26</b> , $8$	42.3	42.0	280.9		25

TABLE I PROPERTIES OF TRIETHYLTIN HALOESTERS AND.PROPENOATES

<sup>a</sup> Yield upon first crystallization. <sup>b</sup> B.p. (760 mm.) of pentafluoropropionate is 216.5°. <sup>c</sup> B.p. (760 mm.) of heptafluoro*n*-butyrate is 224°.

limitations in trialkyltin preparations, especially with moderately strong acids, which can yield diesters<sup>7</sup>  $(C_2H_6)_3$ SnOCOCF<sub>3</sub> + 2C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>SH  $\rightarrow$   $(C_2H_5)_2$ -Sn(SC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub> + C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> + CF<sub>3</sub>COOH.

(1) G. S. Sasin, J. Org. Chem., 18, 1142 (1953).

(2) P. Kulmitz, J. prakt. Chem., 80, 60 (1860).

- (3) H. H. Anderson, J. Org. Chem., 19, 1766 (1954).
- (4) H. H. Anderson, J. Org. Chem., 20, 900 (1955).

(5) H. H. Anderson and G. M. Stanislow, J. Org. Chem.,
 18, 1716 (1953).

(6) H. H. Anderson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 74, 2371 (1952).
(7) G. S. Sasin and R. Sasin, J. Org. Chem., 20, 387 (1955).

in organic solvents makes the preparation of these compounds painstaking.

Analyses in Table I include the direct determination, perhaps for the first time, of the ester group in organotin esters by titration with sodium hydroxide in ethanol.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

Starting materials included pure  $[(C_2H_5)_3Sn]_2O^1$  and  $(C_2H_5)_3SnI$ ,  $C_2F_5COOH$  and n- $C_3F_7COOH$  obtained from (8) H. H. Anderson and J. A. Vasta, J. Org. Chem., 19, 1300 (1954).

Peninsular Chemicals Co., Gainesville, Fla., and redistilled, Eastman Kodak white label haloacids, highly toxic, centerfraction CH<sub>2</sub>FCOOH prepared from NaOCOCH<sub>2</sub>F and concentrated H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, propenoic acid distilled free of inhibiting hydroquinone, methyl methacrylate with inhibitor left present, and AgOCOCH<sub>2</sub>OH made from AgNO<sub>3</sub>, NaOCO-CH<sub>2</sub>OH, and a little excess HOCH<sub>2</sub>COOH in aqueous solution

Analytical methods included determination of tin as SnO<sub>2</sub> after treatment of the organotin compound with mixed fuming nitric and fuming sulfuric acids, determination of molecular weights in camphor solution when the compound had sufficient thermal stability, and direct determination of the OCOR group through titration with ethanolic sodium hydroxide. This last procedure may be part of a future publication upon analytical methods.

Determination of corrected melting points in Table I employed an Anschutz thermometer with the tiny bulb immersed in the melted compound or more frequently employed the customary capillary method without recovery of the compound. Three to five recrystallizations sufficed to produce a compound of constant melting point.

Reactions with acids. Typically, 2.35 g. (11.0 milliequivalents) of [(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Sn]<sub>2</sub>O and 10.0 milliequivalents of the haloacid were combined and heated for 10 min. at 100° if the halo acid decomposed easily, but up to 60 min. at  $100^\circ$ if the haloacids were relatively stable. Some water formed; pipetting separated most of the water and Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> accepted the rest. The material was crystallized from small volumes of an organic solvent such as  $n-C_4H_9Cl$  or petroleum ether (30-60°) until the melting point became constant; often the use of a salt-ice bath was necessary in crystallization. At the melting point or slightly above it (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnOCO-CH=CH<sub>2</sub> decomposed somewhat. Formation of two immiscible layers in the preparation of (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnOCOCH<sub>2</sub>F probably was responsible for the low yield of ester.

Reaction with methyl methacrylate. Methacrylic acid alone would probably polymerize too rapidly for use with  $[(C_2H_{\delta})_{3}]$ -Sol<sub>2</sub>O. Three individual runs consisted of heating 2.60 g, of  $[(C_2H_5)_3Sn]_2O$  and 1.30 g, of inhibited CH<sub>3</sub>OCOC-(CH<sub>3</sub>)=CH<sub>2</sub> for 1.5 hr. at 100° and then crystallizing from  $CCl_4$  at  $-10^\circ$  to get 0.6-1.0 g. of  $(C_2H_5)_3SnOCOC(CH_8) =$ CH<sub>2</sub> without isolation of the CH<sub>3</sub>OCH<sub>3</sub>. In one run excessive viscous polymeric methyl methacrylate prevented recovery of the organotin ester. Later crystallizations employed mixtures of CCl<sub>4</sub> and petroleum ether (30-60°) first and finally petroleum ether alone.

Reaction with AgOCOCH<sub>2</sub>OH. No reaction occurred between  $[(C_2H_5)_3Sn]_2O$  and 70% aqueous HOCH\_2COOH. However, 1.8 g. of AgOCOCH\_2OH and 2.25 g. of  $(C_2H_5)_3SnI$ after 15 min. reflux in 15 ml. of CCl<sub>4</sub> and the usual filtration and washing of silver salts<sup>4,8</sup> finally yielded 0.4 g. of  $(C_2H_5)_{3}$ -SnOCOCH<sub>2</sub>OH upon crystallization from mixed CCl<sub>4</sub> and n-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>Cl. Two crystallizations from n-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>Cl followed.

PHILADELPHIA 4, PA.

[Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, State University of Iowa]

# Hydrogenolysis of the Nitrogen—Nitrogen Bond of Acylhydrazines with Raney Nickel

RICHARD L. HINMAN

#### Received July 23, 1956

The scope of the reductive cleavage of mono- and diacylhydrazines by Raney nickel in the absence of added hydrogen has been explored. Alkyl substituted monoacylhydrazines and unsubstituted 1,2-diacylhydrazines are cleaved readily. 1,2-Diacylhydrazines, which bear substituents other than hydrogen on the nitrogens, are generally reduced with difficulty or not at all. The nitrogen-mitrogen bonds of 1-acyl-2-alkylidenehydrazines undergo smooth hydrogenolysis, yielding the corresponding amides.

In 1954, Ainsworth<sup>1</sup> showed that the nitrogennitrogen bond of simple carboxylic acid hydrazides can be reductively cleaved by refluxing an ethanolic solution of the hydrazide with a large quantity of Raney nickel (Equation 1). More recently this

$$\text{RCONHNH}_2 \longrightarrow \text{RCONH}_2 + \text{NH}_3$$
 (1)

reaction has been extended to a variety of other compounds, including 1,2-diacylhydrazines and some heterocycles which contain a nitrogen-nitrogen bond.<sup>2</sup>

The purpose of the present work was to explore more completely the scope and limitations of this useful reaction, as it applies to acylhydrazines bearing various substituent groups on the nitrogens. A number of the acylhydrazines were those prepared in connection with other recently re-

ported work.<sup>3</sup> Raney nickel W-2<sup>4</sup> was used in most of the experiments. However, a commercial catalyst<sup>5</sup> was used in a few of the experiments for comparison purposes. No significant differences in activity were observed. The results are summarized in Table 1.

Although 1,2-dibenzoylhydrazine was cleaved to benzamide in 3 hr., as reported by Ainsworth,<sup>2</sup> only a trace of acetamide was isolated from the reaction of 1,2-diacetylhydrazine which was recovered largely unchanged after 3 hr. Since acetamide was isolated in good yield from the latter reaction after a 15-hr. reaction period, the longer time was used with all 1,2-diacylhydrazines.

As examples 1 to 3 show, the accumulation of

<sup>(1)</sup> C. Ainsworth, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 76, 5774 (1954).

<sup>(2)</sup> C. Ainsworth, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 78, 1636 (1956).

<sup>(3</sup>a) R. L. Hinman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 78, 1645 (1956).
(3b) R. L. Hinman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 79, 414 (1957).

<sup>(4)</sup> R. Mozingo, Org. Syntheses, Coll. Vol. III, 181 (1955). (5) "Raney Catalyst in Water," Rancy Catalyst Co.,

Chattanooga, Tennessee.